SUBJECT lines should differ, use one of these or write your own.

Oppose Public Health Bill H4101/S1334 aka as SAPHE 2.0

Oppose SAPHE 2.0, Please watch 5 minute video

Oppose SAPHE 2.0, response requested

Stop Public Health Bill H4101/S1334

SAPHE 2.0 violates the separation of powers

SAPHE 2.0 delegates the lawmaking power to a private corporation

Find YOUR legislators: <https://malegislature.gov/Search/FindMyLegislator>

Dear XXXXXX,

My name is XXXX and I live in XXXX. I am extremely concerned about H4101/S1334, the SAPHE 2.0 bill, which is a complex 10-page Public Health Bill that was drafted without input from, or knowledge of, the large majority of the people of Massachusetts whose lives will be affected; moreover, the bill will impose extensive and expensive changes to our public health system at a time when trust in public health is at an all-time low.

I am asking you to listen to this message from Massachusetts Attorney Peter Vickery about SAPHE 2.0. He explains in less than 5 minutes the fundamental problems with this bill and why it should NOT be passed into law.

*"One of the things that I noticed is in the definition section, foundational public health services was defined as - a nationally recognized framework for a minimum set of public health services including but not limited to, public health programs and foundational capabilities. And* ***this definition really matters because it's not solid it's a moving target. And the entity that decides what it means. It's not the legislature. It's not even the Department of Public Health. It's some other entity at the national level that's not accountable to anybody....****It's a kind of self appointed organization or group of organizations that decide what the term foundational public health services means. And one of the problems with that is not only what they come up with as foundational public health services, but just as a as a constitutional matter, the General Court of Massachusetts should not be contracting out to some self appointed organization in another state, the business of defining what a term in Massachusetts law means." - Peter Vickery, Esq*

[Watch 5 mins](https://healthrightsma.org/attorney-peter-vickery-in-5-minutes-why-massachusetts-lawmakers-should-oppose-saphe-2-0/): Attorney Peter Vickery explains in layman's terms why Massachusetts lawmakers should Oppose SAPHE 2.0.

Finally, I ask you to [watch this 1 minute video](https://healthrightsma.org/hhs-secretary-xavier-beccera-illustrates-how-federal-grant-funding-is-being-used-to-violate-your-rights-and-freedoms/) exchange between California Rep. Kevin Kiley and HHS Secretary Xavier Becerra that illustrates how federal grant funding is being to used to circumvent certain rights and freedoms.

[Here](https://healthrightsma.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/Peter-Vickerys-Letter-to-Oppose-SAPHE-2.0.pdf) you will find a letter drafted by Peter Vickery, Esq outlining his more specific concerns about the language in this legislation. He also created a companion educational video to accompany the letter.  [Watch 8 min](https://healthrightsma.org/vote-against-saphe-2-0/)

Please OPPOSE SAPHE 2.0 and and send it back to the committee for further review, further opportunity for public input, and for revisions that address ambiguities and mis-allocations of authority in the bill. I will be looking for your response to my concerns about this bill. You can call me at xxxxxxxxx. After what we experienced with COVID I am extremely concerned to see a bill that uses grant money to bribe cities and towns to sign on to grants which will compel them to comply with national standards.

Sincerely,

Name, City